



## Q&A: Everything You Should Know About Government Shutdowns Updated: September 5, 2023

### CHAIRMEN

MITCH DANIELS  
LEON PANETTA  
TIM PENNY

### PRESIDENT

MAYA MACGUINEAS

### DIRECTORS

BARRY ANDERSON  
ERSKINE BOWLES  
SAXBY CHAMBLISS  
KENT CONRAD  
JIM COOPER  
DAN CRIPPEN  
ESTHER GEORGE  
WILLIS GRADISON  
KEITH HALL  
JANE HARMAN  
HEIDI HEITKAMP  
WILLIAM HOAGLAND  
JIM JONES  
JOHN KASICH  
LOU KERR  
RON KIND  
MARJORIE MARGOLIES  
DAVE MCCURDY  
JAMES MCINTYRE, JR.  
DAVID MINGE  
MICHAEL NUTTER  
JUNE O'NEILL  
MARNE OBERNAUER, JR.  
RUDOLPH PENNER  
FRANKLIN RAINES  
ROBERT REISCHAUER  
REID RIBBLE  
CHARLES ROBB  
ISABEL SAWHILL  
ALAN K. SIMPSON  
JOHN SPRATT  
GENE STEUERLE  
DAVID STOCKMAN  
JOHN TANNER  
TOM TAUKE  
CAROL COX WAIT

The new fiscal year (FY) begins on October 1, 2023, and Congress has so far enacted none of the 12 appropriations bills setting discretionary spending levels. Lawmakers have until midnight on the final day of the fiscal year – September 30 – to enact legislation to fund the programs covered by the appropriations process, or the government will shut down. A continuing resolution (CR) to allow lawmakers more time to complete work on spending bills is likely to be considered. A shutdown in FY 2024 would affect all federal activities covered by discretionary appropriations, as opposed to the most recent FY 2019 shutdown that began in late 2018 and extended into early 2019 that affected only departments and agencies [covered by the seven appropriations bills](#) that Congress had not yet enacted.

### What is a government shutdown?

Many federal government agencies and programs rely on annual funding appropriations passed by Congress. Every year, Congress must pass and the President must sign budget legislation for the next fiscal year, consisting of 12 appropriations bills, one for each Appropriations subcommittee. Congress has not yet enacted any of the 12 bills for FY 2024 that make up the discretionary spending budget. In a “shutdown,” federal agencies must discontinue all non-essential discretionary functions until new funding legislation is passed and signed into law. Essential services continue to function, as do mandatory spending programs.

### What services are affected in a shutdown and how?

Each federal agency develops its own shutdown plan, following guidance released in previous shutdowns and coordinated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The plan identifies which government activities may not continue until appropriations are restored, requiring furloughs and the halting of many agency activities. Essential services – many of which are related to public safety – continue to operate, with payments covering any obligations incurred only when appropriations are enacted. In prior shutdowns, border protection, in-hospital medical care, air traffic control, law enforcement, and power grid maintenance have been among the services classified as essential, while some legislative and judicial staff have also been largely protected. Mandatory spending not subject to annual appropriations, such as for Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid, also continues. Other examples of activities that continue are those funded by permanent user fees that are not subject to appropriations, such as immigration services funded by visa fees. Certain programs that are funded through advance appropriations, such as those within the Veterans Health Administration, have been minimally affected during recent shutdowns.



Although many programs are exempt, the public is still likely to feel the impact of a shutdown in several ways. For example, in a full shutdown:

- **Social Security and Medicare:** Checks are sent out, but benefit verification as well as card issuance would cease. While unlikely to happen again, during the 1995-1996 shutdown, [more than 10,000 Medicare applicants were temporarily turned away](#) every day of the shutdown.
- **Environmental and Food Inspection:** During the 2013 shutdown, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) halted site inspections for 1,200 different sites that included hazardous waste, drinking water, and chemical facilities, and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) delayed almost 900 inspections. During the 2018-2019 shutdown, the FDA restored some food inspections a few weeks into the funding lapse for products that were considered high-risk.
- **National Parks:** In 2013, the National Park Service (NPS) turned away millions of visitors to more than 400 parks, national monuments, and other sites. NPS estimated that the shutdown led to more than \$500 million in lost visitor spending nationwide. Many parks remained open during the 2018-2019 shutdown, though no visitor services were provided, and damage and trash build-up were reported at many sites.
- **Air Travel:** During the 2018-2019 shutdown, [air travel was strained](#) as a result of air traffic controllers and Transportation Security Administration (TSA) agents working without pay. Travelers faced longer lines as some TSA agents did not report to work and security checkpoints were closed, while [the absence of ten air traffic controllers](#) temporarily stopped travel at LaGuardia Airport and caused delays at several major airports.
- **Health and Human Services:** The National Institutes of Health (NIH) would be prevented from admitting new patients or processing grant applications. In 2013, states were [forced](#) to front the money for formula grant programs such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF, sometimes described as “cash welfare”).
- **Internal Revenue Service (IRS):** As a result of funds provided in the Inflation Reduction Act, [normal IRS operations would continue](#) and all 83,000 employees would be exempt from furlough. In 2013, a backlog of 1.2 million income and Social Security number verification requests delayed mortgage and other loan approvals, and billions of dollars of tax refunds were also delayed. At least 26,000 furloughed IRS employees were recalled to work during the 2018-2019 shutdown in preparation for tax season, but [14,000 did not show up](#) to work without pay.
- **Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP):** Though funding for the SNAP program is mandatory, the ability to send out “food stamp” benefits could be affected by a shutdown, since continuing resolutions have generally only authorized the Agriculture Department (USDA) to send out benefits for 30 days after a shutdown begins. During the 2018-2019 shutdown, the USDA paid February SNAP benefits early on January 20, just before the 30-day window ended, but it would have been unable to pay March benefits had the shutdown continued. In addition, during any shutdown, stores are not able to renew their Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) card licenses, so those whose licenses expire would not be able to accept SNAP benefits during a shutdown.



## Is the government preparing for a shutdown?

OMB [maintains a list](#) of the various contingency plans federal agencies will follow during a shutdown. Most have been updated within the past three years, but some have not been updated since the last full shutdown in early 2018.

## How would federal employees be affected?

A full shutdown would be more extensive than the partial shutdown that started in December 2018, when Congress had enacted five of the 12 appropriations bills. A full shutdown would likely be similar to recent ones in 2013 and early 2018, when approximately 850,000 out of 2.1 million non-postal federal employees were furloughed. In 2013, most of the 350,000 civilian employees at the Defense Department were summoned back to work within a week. Furloughed employees are not allowed to work and do not receive paychecks, but are guaranteed back pay due to [legislation passed in January 2019](#). Federal contractors have historically not received back pay.

At the beginning of the partial 2018-2019 shutdown, an [estimated 380,000 employees](#) were furloughed, a smaller number than usual since large federal employers such as the Veterans Affairs and Defense Departments were already funded. Another 420,000 employees reported to work but did not receive pay until the shutdown ended. As the 2018-2019 shutdown continued, departments and agencies such as the IRS and State Department recalled an increasing number of employees.

## How and why do mandatory programs continue during a shutdown?

Whereas discretionary spending must be appropriated every year, mandatory spending is authorized either for multi-year periods or permanently. Thus, mandatory spending generally continues during a shutdown. However, some services associated with mandatory programs may be diminished if there is a discretionary component to their funding. For instance, during the 1996 shutdowns and the 2013 shutdown, Social Security checks continued to go out, but staff who handled new enrollments and other services, such as changing addresses or handling requests for new Social Security cards, were initially furloughed in 1996. In 2013, certain activities were discontinued, including verifying benefits and providing new and replacement cards, but the processing of benefit applications or address changes continued. During the 2018-2019 shutdown, USDA had to rely on a special authority included in the previous CR to allow it to continue issuing SNAP benefits.

## How many times has the government shut down?

Since Congress introduced the modern budget process in 1976, there have been 20 “funding gaps,” including the 2018-2019 shutdown and the one in January 2018, when funds were not



appropriated for at least one day. (The hours-long lapse in appropriations in February 2018, though sometimes characterized as a shutdown, did not result in federal employee furloughs.) However, before 1980, the government did not shut down, but rather continued normal operations through six funding gaps. Since 1981, ten funding gaps of three days or fewer have occurred, mostly over a weekend when government operations were only minimally affected.

There have now been four “true” shutdowns where operations were affected for more than one business day. The first two happened in the winter of 1995-1996, when President Bill Clinton and the Republican Congress were unable to agree on spending levels, causing the government to shut down twice, for a total of 26 days. The third was in 2013, when a House and Senate standoff over funding for the Affordable Care Act (ACA) resulted in a 16-day shutdown. The fourth shutdown in December 2018 and January 2019 – technically only a partial shutdown because five of the 12 appropriations had previously been enacted – centered on a dispute over border wall funding and was the longest-lasting shutdown at 35 days.

### **Does a government shutdown save money?**

While estimates vary widely, evidence suggests that shutdowns tend to cost – not save – money for several reasons. For one, putting contingency plans in place has a real cost. In addition, many user fees and other charges are not collected during a shutdown, and federal contractors sometimes include premiums in their bids to account for uncertainty in being paid. While many federal employees are forced to be idle during a shutdown, they have historically received and are now guaranteed back pay, negating much of those potential savings. OMB [official estimates](#) of the 2013 government shutdown found that \$2.5 billion in pay and benefits were paid to furloughed employees for hours not worked during the shutdown, as well as roughly \$10 million in penalty interest payments and lost fee collections.

Shutdowns also carry [a cost](#) to [the economy](#). The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) [estimated](#) that the 2018-2019 shutdown reduced Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by a total of \$11 billion, including \$3 billion that will never be recovered. On top of that effect, CBO notes that longer shutdowns negatively affect private-sector investment and hiring decisions as businesses cannot obtain federal permits and certifications or access federal loans. A 2019 Senate report found that the three government shutdowns in 2013, 2018, and 2019 [cost taxpayers nearly \\$4 billion](#).

### **How can Congress avoid a shutdown?**

There are essentially two ways to avoid a government shutdown – by passing appropriations or a continuing resolution (see question on “What is a Continuing Resolution?”). Theoretically, the House and Senate Appropriations committees are supposed to pass 12 different appropriations bills that are broken up by subject area and based on funding levels allocated in a budget resolution. Often, these bills are combined into larger “omnibus” or “minibus” legislation.



To avoid a shutdown, Congress would need to pass all 12 appropriations bills through both chambers and get them signed by the President by the end of the day on September 30. This could be done by enacting each bill individually, or by packaging them together through an omnibus or minibus. Neither the House nor the Senate has yet acted on a CR for FY 2024, hoping to resolve disagreements over whether discretionary spending levels should adhere to the spending caps enacted in the Fiscal Responsibility Act (FRA) this summer along with the debt limit suspension. Supplemental appropriations, [including funds for disaster relief and for additional Ukraine support](#), may be another point of contention as Congress considers a fall CR. For more about the status of specific appropriations bills, see [Appropriations Watch: FY 2024](#).

## What is a Continuing Resolution?

A continuing resolution temporarily funds the government in the absence of full appropriations bills, often by continuing funding levels from the prior year. Traditionally, CRs have been used to give lawmakers a short period of time to complete their work on remaining appropriations bills while keeping the government open. CRs sometimes apply to only certain appropriations, but they can also be used to fund all discretionary functions for as long as the entire year.

CRs differ from normal appropriations bills in that they often “continue” funding allocations from previous bills at the prior year’s level, or through a formula based on the prior year’s level. Even when overall funding levels have differed, lawmakers have often simply scaled-up all accounts by a percent change in spending, rather than making individual decisions on spending accounts. However, CRs often do include certain “anomalies,” where specific items are increased or decreased to work around some problems that would occur from continuing the previous year’s policies, or “policy riders,” where certain funding restrictions are specified in order to dictate policy. Colloquially, a “clean CR” does not contain policy riders or politically motivated changes to funding levels.

## How often does Congress pass CRs?

Congress frequently passes CRs when lawmakers are unable to agree on appropriations before a deadline. Occasionally, multiple CRs are necessary to fund the government for an entire fiscal year. Congress also sometimes relies on CRs during presidential transition years. In FY 2001, a series of intense Congressional negotiations leading up to the 2000 election led to a series of ten, one-day CRs. In total, Congress funded the first three months of that fiscal year with 21 CRs.

Not surprisingly, CRs have been quite prevalent recently and were used to fund the government entirely in FY 2011, when eight CRs were passed, and in FY 2013, when two CRs were passed. In fiscal years 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2020, 2021, and 2023, CRs were used to fund the government for roughly a quarter of each year. For FY 2023, the current fiscal year, appropriations were [completed in December](#), nearly one-fourth of the way through the fiscal year, requiring three CRs. Stopgap measures were used for nearly half of the year in [FY 2022](#), more than one-third of the year in [FY 2019](#), nearly half the year in [FY 2018](#), and more than half the year in [FY 2017](#). The



most recent year when all full-year appropriations bills passed before the fiscal year began and no CRs were necessary was FY 1997.

### **What are the disadvantages of using CRs?**

Continuing resolutions have [several negative implications](#) for the budget's overall efficiency. CRs usually continue funding at the past year's level without any regard for changing policy needs or the value of each program within an agency. Using a CR wastes hundreds of hours of careful consideration and program evaluation incorporated into each agency's budget submission. For instance, the President's annual budget proposes a list of eliminations and reductions of programs that are duplicative or ineffective; a CR will continue to fund these unwanted programs. Finally, the use of CRs disrupts activities within agencies, makes it difficult to plan or start future projects, and costs staff time to revise work plans every time the budget changes.

### **How is Congress addressing funding?**

Congress has not yet enacted any appropriations bills as of early September, and the House has passed just one out of 12 FY 2024 appropriations bills. The Senate Appropriations Committee approved all 12 of its bills, but none have passed the full chamber. The major dispute remains over topline funding levels, with the House preferring to fund the government at FY 2022 levels and the Senate opting for the levels agreed to in the FRA. The House and Senate would have to agree on and pass the same versions of the bills before they are presented to the President for his signature. Congress is expected to consider a CR that would extend funding, largely at current levels, to allow more time to complete appropriations. For more about the status of specific appropriations bills, see [Appropriations Watch: FY 2024](#).

### **How does a shutdown differ from a default?**

In a shutdown, the federal government temporarily stops paying employees and contractors who perform government services, whereas in a default the list of parties not paid is much broader. In a default, the government exceeds the statutory debt limit and is unable to pay some of its creditors (or other obligations). Without enough money to pay its bills, all the federal government's payments are at risk — including all government spending, mandatory payments, interest on our debts, and payments to U.S. bondholders. While a government shutdown would be disruptive, a government default could be disastrous. For more on a default, see our [Q&A: Everything You Should Know About the Debt Ceiling](#).

### **How does a shutdown differ from “sequestration” or “sequester”?**

A government shutdown closes non-essential government operations due to a lack of funding, whereas a sequester or sequestration is shorthand for a process in which broad areas of government spending are reduced to make up for spending exceeding a certain threshold. In recent years, it has been associated with the reductions in discretionary spending caps that were



in place up until FY 2021 that constrained the total amount of funding for annually appropriated programs. The discretionary spending caps for FY 2024 and FY 2025 enacted as part of the debt limit agreement in the FRA will also be enforced through sequestration. The sequestration mechanism for the next two years would work the same way as it did during the years the Budget Control Act (BCA) of 2011 was in effect, with OMB issuing sequestration reports calculating the amounts of needed cuts at the end of each congressional session if discretionary spending exceeds the caps.

The first example of sequestration was included in the Gramm–Rudman–Hollings Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, and it is also used to enforce statutory pay-as-you-go (PAYGO). The BCA, which resolved the 2011 debt ceiling negotiations, created a Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction (the “Super Committee”) to identify at least \$1.5 trillion of deficit reduction over 10 years and set in motion the sequester if it did not identify at least \$1.2 trillion. The failure of the Super Committee triggered sequestration, causing discretionary spending caps to be automatically lowered for both defense and nondefense. Congress never allowed the full BCA sequester to take effect, passing partial sequester relief [in 2013](#) and [2015](#), and more-than-fully reversing the sequester in [2018](#) and [2019](#). If appropriations bills violated the increased spending caps, then across-the-board cuts would have been triggered.

**For more information, see the following:**

- Committee for a Responsible Federal Budget – [Appropriations Watch: FY 2024](#)
- Committee for a Responsible Federal Budget – [What Happens in a Partial Government Shutdown?](#)
- Committee for a Responsible Federal Budget – [Maya MacGuineas’s Testimony on Continuing Resolutions, Omnibuses, and Shutdowns](#)
- Congressional Budget Office – [The Effects of the Partial Shutdown Ending in January 2019](#)
- Congressional Research Service – [Federal Funding Gaps: A Brief Overview](#)
- Congressional Research Service – [The FY2014 Government Shutdown: Economic Effects](#)
- Congressional Research Service – [Shutdown of the Federal Government: Causes, Processes, and Effects](#)
- Government Accountability Office – [Uncertainty Limited Management Options and Increased Workload in Selected Agencies](#)
- Office of Management and Budget – [Agency Contingency Plans](#)
- Office of Management and Budget – [Impacts and Costs of the October 2013 Federal Government Shutdown](#)
- Office of Management and Budget – [Planning for Agency Operations During a Potential Lapse in Appropriation](#)
- Roy T. Meyers – [Late Appropriations and Government Shutdowns: Frequencies, Causes, Consequences, and Remedies](#)
- Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations – [The True Cost of Government Shutdowns](#)